

BUILDING AI LEADERSHIP SUPPORT

JULY 2025



engineering
new zealand
te ao rangahau

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is rapidly transforming industries worldwide, and New Zealand's engineering sector is no exception. Recent reports indicate a significant surge in AI adoption across the country, with 82% of organizations now utilizing AI technologies – a 15% increase since September 2024. This growth underscores AI's potential to enhance productivity, drive innovation, and maintain global competitiveness.

Despite these advancements, some engineering firms remain hesitant to embrace AI, often due to concerns about job displacement, ethical considerations, data privacy concerns, or a lack of understanding about AI's practical applications. This guide is designed to equip engineers with the knowledge and resources to advocate for AI integration within their organizations, addressing common concerns and highlighting the benefits of embracing this transformative technology.

Contents

Understanding the landscape	1
The state of AI adoption in New Zealand	1
Government's strategic approach	1
Leveraging Industry Resources	1
Engineering New Zealand's AI Programme	1
AI Forum NZ's AEC Working Group	1
AI Workplace Guidelines	1
Addressing management concerns and implementing AI	1
Talent retention and competitive advantage	1
Implementing pilot projects	1
Developing ethical guidelines and governance	2
Data privacy and security in AI integration	2
Legal framework	2
Conducting Privacy Impact Assessments (PIAs)	2
Data governance and security measures	2
Addressing AI-Specific security risks	2
Ethical considerations and transparency	3
Deciding between in-house development and outsourcing	3
In-house AI development	3
Outsourcing AI development	3
Hybrid approach	4
Decision-making considerations	4
References	5

Understanding the landscape

The state of AI adoption in New Zealand

AI adoption is accelerating across New Zealand industries. Datacom's "State of AI Index" reports that AI usage among Kiwi companies surged from 48% in 2023 to 66% in 2024, reflecting growing confidence in AI's potential. However, this rapid adoption also highlights challenges, including governance gaps and data quality issues. Only 9% of New Zealand businesses consider their data to be entirely clean, which can hinder effective AI implementation.

Government's strategic approach

The New Zealand government has outlined a strategic approach to AI, emphasizing safe, transparent, and responsible development and use. The Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment (MBIE) released a cabinet paper detailing this approach, aiming to provide confidence to the public service and wider economy. Additionally, the Government Chief Digital Officer (GCDO) has developed a Public Service AI Framework, setting a vision and guiding principles for AI use in the public sector.

Leveraging Industry Resources

Engineering New Zealand's AI Programme

With our "Engineering and AI" programme, we provide advice on AI's potential impacts on the engineering profession. The initiative recommends strategies, policies, training, and best practices for integrating AI into engineering.

AI Forum NZ's AEC Working Group

The Architecture, Engineering, and Construction (AEC) Working Group, under AI Forum NZ, is dedicated to leveraging AI technologies to create a more prosperous, inclusive, and equitable future for Aotearoa New Zealand. The group works on strategic objectives through collaboration, research, and thought leadership.

AI Workplace Guidelines

AI New Zealand provides practical workplace guidelines to support the safe and responsible use of AI. These guidelines cover areas such as AI governance, data management, transparency, bias and fairness, employee engagement, and security.

Addressing management concerns and implementing AI

Talent retention and competitive advantage

A lack of AI strategy can lead to talent attrition, as professionals seek employers who embrace technological advancements. AI New Zealand emphasises that proactive AI strategies are imperative for attracting and retaining talent.

Implementing pilot projects

- **Start small:** Initiate pilot projects to demonstrate AI's potential benefits, such as automating specific engineering processes to improve efficiency and accuracy.
- **Measure outcomes:** Collect data on improvements in time savings, error reduction, and overall productivity to build a compelling case for broader AI integration.

Developing ethical guidelines and governance

- **AI workplace policies:** Establish clear policies outlining acceptable AI use, data management, and ethical considerations to guide implementation and address potential risks.
- **Transparency and communication:** Maintain open communication with all stakeholders about AI initiatives, ensuring transparency in decision-making processes and addressing concerns proactively.

Data privacy and security in AI integration

As engineering firms in New Zealand adopt AI technologies, ensuring data privacy and security becomes paramount. AI systems often process vast amounts of data, some of which may be sensitive or personal. Protecting this data is not only a legal obligation but also essential for maintaining trust with clients and stakeholders.

Legal framework

In New Zealand, the **Privacy Act 2020** governs the collection, use, and disclosure of personal information. This Act applies to all entities, including those utilizing AI tools. Key provisions include:

- **Information Privacy Principles (IPPs):** These principles outline obligations regarding the collection, storage, and use of personal information.
- **Mandatory Breach Notification:** Organizations must notify the Privacy Commissioner and affected individuals of any privacy breaches that pose a risk of harm.

The Office of the Privacy Commissioner emphasizes that AI tools must be used in compliance with the IPPs, ensuring transparency, accountability, and fairness in automated decision-making processes.

Conducting Privacy Impact Assessments (PIAs)

Before implementing AI systems, engineering firms should perform **Privacy Impact Assessments** to:

- **Identify potential risks:** Assess how AI technologies might affect individual privacy.
- **Mitigate risks:** Develop strategies to minimize identified privacy risks.
- **Ensure compliance:** Demonstrate adherence to the Privacy Act and other relevant regulations.

Regularly updating PIAs ensures ongoing compliance as AI systems evolve.

Data governance and security measures

Implementing robust data governance frameworks is crucial. Key measures include:

- **Data minimization:** Collect only the data necessary for specific AI functions.
- **Access controls:** Restrict data access to authorized personnel only.
- **Encryption:** Employ encryption protocols for data at rest and in transit.
- **Regular audits:** Conduct periodic audits to assess data handling practices and compliance.

Adhering to standards like **ISO/IEC 27001** can further strengthen information security management systems.

Addressing AI-Specific security risks

AI systems introduce unique security challenges, such as:

- **Data poisoning:** Malicious manipulation of training data to corrupt AI models.
- **Model inversion attacks:** Extracting sensitive information from AI models.
- **Unauthorized access:** Exploiting vulnerabilities to gain access to AI systems.

To mitigate these risks:

- **Secure development practices:** Incorporate security measures throughout the AI development lifecycle.
- **Monitoring and logging:** Implement continuous monitoring to detect and respond to anomalies.
- **Employee training:** Educate staff on AI security best practices and potential threats.

Ethical considerations and transparency

Beyond legal compliance, ethical considerations are vital:

- **Transparency:** Clearly communicate how AI systems make decisions, especially when they affect individuals.
- **Bias mitigation:** Regularly test AI models for biases and implement corrective measures.
- **Stakeholder engagement:** Involve stakeholders in discussions about AI deployment and its implications.

By prioritising data privacy and security, engineering firms can harness AI's benefits while maintaining public trust and complying with New Zealand's regulatory landscape.

Deciding between in-house development and outsourcing

As engineering firms in New Zealand consider integrating AI technologies, a critical decision arises: whether to develop AI capabilities internally or to collaborate with external partners. This choice impacts control, cost, speed, and long-term sustainability.

In-house AI development

Advantages:

- **Full control and customization:** Developing AI internally allows for tailored solutions that align closely with your firm's specific needs and workflows.
- **Intellectual property ownership:** All developed models and data remain proprietary, providing a competitive edge.
- **Cultural alignment:** An internal team is more likely to understand and integrate seamlessly with your company's culture and values.

Challenges:

- **High initial investment:** Significant resources are required for hiring skilled personnel, acquiring infrastructure, and ongoing training.
- **Talent acquisition difficulties:** The demand for AI professionals often exceeds supply, making recruitment competitive and challenging.
- **Longer development timelines:** Building and deploying AI solutions internally can be time-consuming, potentially delaying benefits.

Outsourcing AI development

Advantages:

- **Access to expertise:** External providers often have specialized knowledge and experience across various industries and technologies.
- **Cost efficiency:** Outsourcing can reduce expenses related to recruitment, infrastructure, and training.
- **Faster deployment:** Leveraging existing frameworks and expertise can accelerate the development and implementation process.

Challenges:

- **Less control:** Relying on external teams may limit your ability to make immediate changes or steer the project direction.
- **Potential security risks:** Sharing sensitive data with third parties can pose confidentiality and compliance concerns.

- **Dependency on vendors:** Long-term reliance on external providers may lead to challenges if the partnership ends or if service quality declines.

Hybrid approach

Combining both in-house and outsourced strategies can offer a balanced solution:

- **Strategic control:** Maintain internal oversight of core AI strategies and data governance.
- **Leverage external expertise:** Engage external partners for specialized tasks or to accelerate specific project components.
- **Scalability:** Adjust resources flexibly based on project demands and internal capacity.

Decision-making considerations

When determining the appropriate approach, assess the following factors:

- **Project scope and complexity:** Is the AI initiative central to your business operations or a supplementary function?
- **Resource availability:** Do you have the necessary budget and personnel to support in-house development?
- **Time constraints:** What are your timelines for development and deployment?
- **Data sensitivity:** Does the project involve confidential or proprietary information requiring stringent security measures?
- **Long-term strategy:** Are you aiming to build lasting internal capabilities or seeking a solution for a specific, short-term need?

By carefully evaluating these aspects, engineering firms can make informed decisions that align with their strategic objectives and operational capacities.

Disclaimer

The information provided in this document is for general informational purposes only. While we have made every attempt to ensure that the information contained herein is accurate and up to date as of July 2025, Engineering New Zealand makes no representations or warranties of any kind, express or implied, about the completeness, accuracy, reliability, suitability, or availability with respect to the information contained in this document.

References

- Addepto. (n.d.). *AI development: In-house vs. outsourcing*. Addepto. <https://addepto.com/blog/in-house-vs-outsourcing-ai-development/>
- AI Forum New Zealand. (n.d.). *Architecture, engineering and construction (AEC) working group*. AI Forum NZ. <https://aiforum.org.nz/our-work/working-groups/architecture-engineering-and-construction-aec-working-group/>
- AI New Zealand. (n.d.-a). *AI for business workplace guidelines & best practices*. AI New Zealand. <https://newzealand.ai/workplace-guidelines>
- AI New Zealand. (n.d.-b). *AI strategy as a talent retention imperative: A briefing for New Zealand directors*. AI New Zealand. <https://newzealand.ai/insights/ai-strategy-as-a-talent-retention-imperative-a-briefing-for-new-zealand-directors>
- Analytics Insight. (n.d.). *In-house vs. outsourcing AI development: Advantages and disadvantages*. <https://www.analyticsinsight.net/artificial-intelligence/in-house-vs-outsourcing-ai-development-advantages-and-disadvantages>
- Cyber Safety New Zealand. (n.d.). *Cyber safety protocols for AI development in New Zealand*. <https://www.cybersafety.org.nz/cyber-safety-protocols-for-ai-development-in-new-zealand/>
- Datacom. (2024). *State of AI index: AI attitudes in NZ*. Datacom Group Ltd. <https://datacom.com/nz/en/solutions/experience/insights/state-of-ai-index-ai-attitudes>
- Digital.govt.nz. (2023). *Public service artificial intelligence framework*. Department of Internal Affairs. <https://www.digital.govt.nz/standards-and-guidance/technology-and-architecture/artificial-intelligence/public-service-artificial-intelligence-framework>
- Duncan Cotterill. (2023, November 15). *New Zealand's regulatory approach to AI and steps NZ businesses should take*. <https://duncancotterill.com/insights/new-zealands-regulatory-approach-to-ai-and-steps-nz-businesses-should-take/>
- Engineering New Zealand. (n.d.). *Engineering and AI*. <https://www.engineeringnz.org/programmes/engineering-and-ai/>
- FullStack Labs. (n.d.). *Machine learning: In-house vs. outsourcing development*. <https://www.fullstack.com/labs/resources/blog/machine-learning-development-should-you-hire-in-house-or-outsource>
- Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment. (2024, February). *Approach to work on artificial intelligence* [Cabinet paper]. New Zealand Government. <https://www.mbie.govt.nz/dmsdocument/28913-approach-to-work-on-artificial-intelligence-proactiverelease-pdf>
- Nexocode. (n.d.). *AI outsourcing and in-house development: Choosing the best*. <https://nexocode.com/blog/posts/outsourcing-ai-development-vs-in-house-ai-development-team/>
- Office of the Privacy Commissioner. (n.d.). *Artificial intelligence and the IPPs*. <https://www.privacy.org.nz/publications/guidance-resources/ai/>
- Patternica. (n.d.). *In-house development vs outsourcing AI: Which is the better option*. <https://patternica.com/blog/outsourcing-ai-vs-in-house-development>
- Privacy Act 2020, Public Act 2020 No 31. (2020). *New Zealand Legislation*. <https://www.legislation.govt.nz/act/public/2020/0031/latest/LMS23223.html>